

JEB

by Rina Anindita

Submission date: 25-Nov-2020 02:23PM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 1456859021

File name: Penelitian_JEB0552_rinaa-1.pdf (1.03M)

Word count: 6627

Character count: 36209



Journal of Economics and Business

Hasyim, Anindita, Rina, Baharudin, and Gunawan. (2020), Building Acceleration of Economic Growth Model Through Education and Health Budget Allocation: Cases in Indonesia. In: *Journal of Economics and Business*, Vol.3, No.1, X

ISSN 2615-3726

DOI: 10.31014/aior.1992.03.01.X

The online version of this article can be found at:
<https://www.asianinstituteofresearch.org/>

¹Published by:
The Asian Institute of Research

⁵The *Journal of Economics and Business* is an Open Access publication. It may be read, copied, and distributed free of charge according to the conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

¹The Asian Institute of Research *Journal of Economics and Business* is a peer-reviewed International Journal. The journal covers scholarly articles in the fields of Economics and Business, which includes, but not limited to, Business Economics (Micro and Macro), Finance, Management, Marketing, Business Law, Entrepreneurship, Behavioral and Health Economics, Government Taxation and Regulations, Financial Markets, International Economics, Investment, and Economic Development. As the journal is Open Access, it ensures high visibility and the increase of citations for all research articles published. The *Journal of Economics and Business* aims to facilitate scholarly work on recent theoretical and practical aspects of Economics and Business.



ASIAN INSTITUTE OF RESEARCH
Connecting Scholars Worldwide



Building Acceleration of Economic Growth Model Through Education and Health Budget Allocation: Cases in Indonesia

Hasyim¹, Rina Anindita², Baharudin³, Gunawan⁴

^{1,2}Esa Unggul University, Jakarta, Indonesia. Email: Rina.anindita@esaunggul.ac.id

^{3,4}STIE AMKOP, Makassar, Indonesia

Abstract

The Role of Capital Expenditure on Education, Health Expenditure, on the effort to reduce poverty level is an interesting study because the allocation of expenditure to several countries including Indonesia is quite large, so it is necessary to review the effectiveness of the budget allocation to reduce poverty and increase human economic growth (Psacharopoulos, 1972) in Todaro, 2000). The level of public welfare can no longer be measured from monetary values such as income per capita, but should include non-economic measures (Connolly and Munro (1999); Perkins (2001); Todaro and Smith (2002); and Van Den Berg 2005), and more focus on human development which can be measured from the high government commitment in allocating budget for education, health and other factors which are strongly related to the development of human resources. The objectives of this research are to analyze and assess on how: (1) the impact of the allocation of education capital expenditure and the allocation of health expenditure on the Human Development Index; (2) the influence of human development index on economic growth; (3) the effect of economic growth on the level of poverty; and (4) the interpretation of the economic growth acceleration model. The research was conducted by taking samples in 23 regencies/municipalities in South Sulawesi Province which is one of the provinces with the highest economic growth rate in Indonesia. The data used is Panel data with period 2004 - 2016, then processed by using regression analysis method. The Result of the Research from the first model., it shows that from the t test, it is found that the variables contained in the data which give significant effect to the Human Development Index is the allocation of Education fund, at the real level of 5%. The value of R² generated in this model is 0.637 which means that 63.7% of the human development index in 23 urban districts for 10 years is explained by the variables in the model, the allocation of education and health funds, the rest by other variables in the model. The variables in the allocation of education funds have a negative influence on the human development index. The value of regression coefficient of this variable is 0.72 with p-value of 0.003. meaning that any 1% increase in health allocation funds will reduce the human development index by 0.72. For the panel data analysis with this random effect model there are individual and time effects that show differences in human development indexes between districts and municipalities and between years. So the value of constants to be obtained will be different for each district/municipality and different for each time. The determinant coefficient value generated in model 1 shows the figure of 0.637 means that 63.7% of the human development index is explained by the variables in the model, i.e. the allocation of education and health funds. In model 2 it was found that the value of determinant coefficient is 0.0854, which means that only 8.5% of the diversity of economic growth in the twenty three districts/municipalities in South Sulawesi in the period 2007-2016 explained by the variables on the human development index.

Keywords: Education Budget Allocation, Human Development Index, Economic Growth, Developing Countries

INTRODUCTION

The problem of poverty in Indonesia has always been the main concern, as the government has realized that failure to overcome the problem of poverty will lead to the emergence of various social, economic, and political issues within the community. Over the last few decades Indonesia's economic growth has experienced ups and downs in accordance with world economic conditions, where the national income which is the benchmark of economic growth shows fluctuating growth

According to Mankiew (2008), human resource development can be done through improving the quality of human capital. Human capital that refers to education and health is a fundamental development objective in a region, namely education is essential to achieve a decent life, while health is the core of prosperity. Improving the quality of human capital depends on the availability of infrastructure to support investments in human resources, such as housing and transportation, which are public goods provided by the government in order to meet the needs of the community.

The allocation of local government expenditures reflected in the Regional Budget (APBD) will have a positive impact on human development as well as economic growth, primarily the allocation of direct spending on education, health and infrastructure. These three direct expenditure allocations will be outlined in personnel expenditure, goods and services expenditures, and capital expenditures, indicating that personnel expenditures and goods and services expenditure are incapable of contributing to human development and economic growth, and only capital expenditures is capable of responding to human development and economic growth.

Connolly and Munro (1999); Perkins (2001); Todaro and Smith (2002); and Van Den Berg (2005), similarly offer a measurement of the achievement of economic development objectives on more abstract matters relating to the social, cultural and economic aspects of society which focus more on the level of human development progress.

In the essence that development is human development, so it needs to be prioritized that the allocation of spending for this purposes in the preparation of budget (Adi, 2009). Human development can be observed through the size of the Human Development Index (HDI), where HDI is one way to measure the level of physical and non-physical qualities of the population. Physical quality is reflected in life expectancy, while non-physical (intellectual) quality through the average of school attendance and literacy rate, as well as the economic capacity of the community as reflected in the purchasing power value of parity index.

Based on these perspectives, there is a need for in-depth and systematic study on the performance of regional development policies through the implementation of local expenditure allocations to reduce poverty levels through HDI and economic growth. Conceptually the development approach puts development policy in a strategic and important position in development acceleration. This can be spelled out in more effective and efficient regional fiscal policies that can directly address the basic needs and requirements of the community through the allocation of local spending for public services and the construction of basic community infrastructure.

Empirically, there have not been many studies that specifically verified and estimated capital spending capabilities of education, health, and infrastructure in APBD in reducing poverty through economic growth in response to human development, and Social Overhead Capital (SOC) especially the regencies/municipalities in South Sulawesi. Thus, local development policy makers do not have sufficient scientific references in locating their APBD expenditures appropriately to reduce poverty, aimed at increasing the acceleration of economic growth.

The objectives of this research are (i) to analyze and examine the effect of allocation of education capital expenditure and health expenditure allocation to Human Development Index in South Sulawesi Province; (2) analyze and test the effect of HDI on economic growth in South Sulawesi Province; (3) analyze and examine the

effect of economic growth on poverty level in South Sulawesi Province; and (4) interpretation of the economic growth acceleration model.

LITERATURE REVIEW

24

Education and Health Expenditure with Development Index

The allocation of spending on education and health is an instrument for improving the quality of human resources, which in turn impacts on poverty alleviation. As Sepulveda, 2010 states that pro-poor government spending is the allocation of basic health spending and basic education. This is because good education and health enable the poor to increase the value of their assets because their most important asset is their labor (Lanjouw, Pradhan, Saadah, Sayed, and Sparrow, 2001).

According to Todaro (2006), education and health are the fundamental development goals, to form broader human capacities that are at the heart of the meaning of development. On the other hand, poor communities who generally have no resources except energy capital, health becomes the most essential need. Health and education can be seen as a vital component of growth and development and an input of an aggregate production function. Its double role as input and output causes health and education very important in economic development.

Improvements in education and health will affect human development outcomes. Considering that indicators in the human development index (HDI) by UNDP put education and health as the main indicators in addition to economic indicators. Psacharopoulos (1972) in Todaro (2000) proves that education does have a positive influence on the development of economic growth. Improving health, education and nutrition is not only able to increase the capability, but also an important means in improving "human capital" in the future.

Human Development (IPM) Against Economic Growth

23

Human capital is one important factor in the process of economic growth. It can be believed that the economic performance will be better if human capital is qualified, in this case shown in the level of education, health, or other indicators as can be seen in various human development reports published by the United Nations Agency for Human Development (UNDP).

Thus, economic development should be considered in order to spur economic growth, including in the context of regional economies. This is important because development policies that do not encourage human quality improvement will only make the area concerned lag behind other regions, including in terms of its economic performance. Furthermore, improving the quality of human capital is also expected to provide benefits in reducing imbalances between regions, especially countries that have a wide area and a high level of socio-economic diversity.

16

High human development will be achieved as a result of stable economic growth conditions, through which economic growth will create health and education services, and open employment opportunities for the population. Conversely, high achievement of human development will encourage stable economic growth, which human development will create a qualified workforce, the birth of technological innovations, and trusted management.

Economic Growth and Poverty Rate

The Neo Classics pioneered by Solow who initiated the early model of economic growth (Solow Growth Model) argue that how savings, population growth and technological advances affect the level of economic output and growth over time. The Solow model is based on a production function that relies on capital and labor stocks, then assumed to have a constant scale return, so as not to affect the relationship between output workers and working capital, if the size of the economy is measured by the number of workers. Furthermore, (Lin, 2003;

Bourguignon, 2004; Ravallion, 2005; and Warr, 2000, 2006) argue that high economic growth will enlarge economic capacity (Gross Domestic Product). High GDP is expected to create trickle down effect so that the welfare of society will increase, and will ultimately reduce the level of poverty, or better known as pro-poverty economic growth.

The concept of pro-poverty growth was first introduced in the 1950s (10) later confirmed by Chenery in 1974. (Kakwani and Pernia, 2000). Furthermore, it is implicitly described in the World Development Report 1990 (World Bank, 1990). Furthermore Bigsten and Levin (2000) argue that successful countries in growth are likely to succeed in reducing poverty, especially if there is appropriate policy support and institutional environment. Dollar and Kraay (2002) say that growth will provide far greater benefits for the poor if growth is accompanied by policies such as law enforcement, fiscal discipline, openness in international trade, and poverty alleviation strategies.

RESEARCH METHODS

22 Data

The data in this study are (21) collected from 23 regencies/municipalities in South Sulawesi Province covering 20 districts and 3 cities, (4) during the period of 2004-2014. This study uses pooled data by combining 23 districts/municipalities cross-section data and 11-year time-series data. Because the relationship between variables in this study is time-lag, the observation period for exogenous variables (predictors), which in this case the allocation of capital expenditure (education, health, and infrastructure) in APBD earlier in the year (t-1), both on the initial and final period of observation, while human development, social overhead capital, and economic growth, which is the endogenous variable (intervening), and the poverty level which is the endogenous variable (dependent) is the actual year period (t). Year 2004 was chosen as the initial observation for exogenous variables, and 2014 as the final year of observation for endogenous variables.

Data Analysis Method

Methods of data analysis using panel data regression, with several models built. In the first model the factors that determine the Human Development Index, with the variables of educational expenditure and health expenditure. The first model built is as follows

$$Y_{it} = \alpha + \beta_1 x_{1it} + \beta_2 x_{2it} + \mu_i + \gamma_t + v_{it}$$

With

i : 1, 2, ..., 23, shows number of districts/municipalities in South Sulawesi

t : 1, 2, ..., 10. time period under study *2007- 2016

α : Interception coefficient

β_1, β_2 : Slope Coefficient

μ : The specific influence of the individual no.-

γ : Effect of time t

v_{it} : Effect of error on the i-th district/municipality and time t

Y_{it} : Dependent variables (Human Development Index) for i-district and time-t

x_{1it}, x_{2it} : The independent variable (Education and Health fund) for the i-th district and the time t

Second model is the factor that determines the rate of economic growth, with the variable is determined as Human Development Index.

The second model is

$$Y_{1it} = \alpha + \beta_3 Y_{it} + \mu_i + \gamma_t + v_{it}$$

Y_{1it} : Dependent variable (Economic Growth) for district i and time t

Y_{it} : The independent variable (Development Index) for the i-th district and the t-th time

The third model is the factor that determines the level of poverty, with the variables are the level of economic growth and Human Development Index.

The Third Model is

$$Z_{it} = \alpha + \beta_4 Y_{1it} + \beta_5 Y_{2it} + \mu_i + \gamma_t + v_{it}$$

Z_{it} : Dependent variable (Poverty Rate) for district i and time t

Y_{1it}, Y_{2it} : Independent variable (Human Development Index and Economic Growth) for the district i and time t

After the model is made, then we proceed with the appropriate model specification for the three models, with the following stages:

1. Chow test, to test whether there is influence between individual and time. Chow test is done twice, the first to test whether there is an individual influence on the model used, with the following hypothesis:

$$H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \mu_{N-1} = 0$$

$$H_1: \text{at least there is one } i \text{ so } \mu_k \neq 0$$

The second is to test whether or not there is a time influence on the model used, the hypothesis test is as follows:

$$H_0: \gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \dots = \gamma_{N-1} = 0$$

$$H_1: \text{there is at least one } t \text{ so } \gamma_k \neq 0$$

If from the second hypothesis testing it is obtained that H_0 is not rejected, then the appropriate model has no influence of time and individual from the model, as follows:

$$Y_{it} = \alpha + \beta_1 x_{1it} + \beta_2 x_{2it} + v_{it}$$

If in the first hypothesis H_0 is rejected, and in the second hypothesis H_0 is not rejected, then there is an individual influence on the model:

$$Y_{it} = \alpha + \beta_1 x_{1it} + \beta_2 x_{2it} + \mu_i + v_{it}$$

If the first hypothesis H_0 is not rejected and the second hypothesis H_0 is rejected, then there is a time influence on the model:

$$Y_{it} = \alpha + \beta_1 x_{1it} + \beta_2 x_{2it} + \gamma_t + v_{it}$$

If in the first hypothesis H_0 is rejected and in the second hypothesis H_0 is rejected, then there is the influence of both individual and time on the model, so that the model is formed as follows:

$$Y_{it} = \alpha + \beta_1 x_{1it} + \beta_2 x_{2it} + \mu_i + \gamma_t + v_{it}$$

2. Testing violations of assumptions, lack of correlation using Durbin Watson test, normality by looking at the standard leftover graph, and non-multicollinearity by looking at the value of Variance Inflation Factor
3. Model evaluation and Interpretation of coefficients

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Result of Model Testing 1

The first model, with dependent variable is the human development index, the independent variables of education and health funds. The first model specification, for the first stage of the CHOW test, is performed to select the appropriate model, between the combined model, the individual fixed influence model or the fixed influence model of time. Chow test uses statistics F. In the first Chow test is a hypothesis to test whether there is

influence of individuals on the model used. The result obtained is the -p value of the statistic F less than 0.05, so H_0 is rejected, which means that in this model there is an individual influence. Continued on the second hypothesis for the Chow test, the p-value obtained from the static F test is also less than 0.05 so that the second hypothesis is rejected, meaning in the model there is the effect of time. These results can not be determined whether the right model is the model of individual fixed influence (Fixed Effect) or the model which is influenced by time (random effect). The model specification is proposed by using Hausman Test to determine whether the appropriate model is a model with Fixed Effect or model with Random Effect.

Hausman Test, using the following hypothesis:

$$H_0: \text{there is at least one } t \text{ so } \gamma_k \neq 0 \text{ (Random Effect)}$$

$$H_1: \text{at least there is one } i \text{ so } \mu_k \neq 0 \text{ (Fixed Effect)}$$

The Hausman test uses the statistical value of F Test. The result of the test for this model, the obtained value of -p from the statistic F is 0.8306 or greater than 0.05 so that H_0 is not rejected, it means that the correct model for the first model is Random Effect. It estimates that the data on panels may be interconnected between time and between individuals. In the random effect model, interdependent differences are accommodated by the error terms of each district/municipality. By seeing that in the first proper model is the random effect, the built equations do not need to test the heteroscedasticity, since the advantage of the random effect model has eliminated the problem of heteroscedasticity and auto correlation.

After going through various stages of testing, it has been obtained that the appropriate model is the model of random influence, as follows:

$$Y_{it}(\text{Human Development Index}) = \alpha + \beta_1 x_{1it} + \beta_2 x_{2it} + \mu_i + \gamma_t + v_{it}$$

$$Y_{it}(\text{Human Development Index}) = (\mu_i + \gamma_t) - 90.228 - 0.196 \ln X_1 - 0.72 \ln X_2 + v_{it}$$

The model illustrates the effect of variables in 23 districts/municipalities in South Sulawesi from 2007 to 2016. Based on the F test it has been achieved that p-value for F statistics is 0.000. This shows at the real level of 5% that there is at least one independent variables in the model that significantly influence the Human Development Index.

As for the t test, it is found that the variables contained in the data which give significant influence to the Human Development Index is the allocation of Education fund, at the real level of 5%. The value of R^2 generated in this model is 0.637 means that 63.7% of the human development index in 23 districts/municipalities for 10 years which is explained by the variables in the model, i.e. the allocation of education and health funds, as much as any other variables in the model. The variables in the allocation of education funds have a negative influence on the human development index. The value of regression coefficient of this variable is 0.72 with p-value of 0.003 meaning that any 1% increase in health allocation funds will reduce the human development index by 0.72. For the panel data analysis with this random effect model there are individual and time effects that show differences in human development indexes between districts and municipalities and between years. So the value of constant to be obtained will be different for each district/municipality and the value is different for each time.

Model 2 Test Results

Second model, with economic growth rate as dependent variable, independent variable of human development index. The first model specification, for the first stage of the Chow test is performed to select the appropriate model, between the combined model, the individual fixed influence model or the fixed influence model of time. Chow test uses statistics F. In the first Chow test there is a hypothesis to test whether there is influence of individuals on the model used. The result obtained is the -p value of the statistic F is less than 0.05, so H_0 is rejected, which means that in this model there is an individual influence. Continued on the second hypothesis for the Chow test, the value obtained -p is 0.007 from the static test of F which is also less than 0.05 so that the second hypothesis is rejected, meaning that the model is the effect of time. These results can not be determined whether the right model is the model of individual fixed influence (Fixed Effect) or the model is influenced by time (random effect). For that we do not need a model specification by using Hausman Test.

The second model is Fixed Effect. This model assumes that individual differences, in this study means each district/municipality, can be accommodated from different interceptions. Nevertheless the slope is the same for the inter district/municipality. But with the Fixed Effect model must pass Autocorrelation test. Autocorrelation test uses Wooldrige test for panel data, the result is the value of -p for F test of 0.43 or above 0.05 means that this data is independent from autocorrelation problem.

After going through various stages of testing, it was found that the appropriate model is the model of random influence, as follows:

$$Y_{it} = \alpha + \beta_3 Y_{it} + \mu_i + v_{it}$$

$$Y_{it}(\text{Economic Growth}) = \mu_i - 20.878 - 0.199Y_{it} + v_{it}$$

The model illustrates the effect of independent variables for 23 districts/municipalities in South Sulawesi on Economic Growth in the period of 2007-2016. From t test it is found that the human development index variables give p-value of 0.000 at 5% real level. Thus, the human development index is a variable affecting the rate of economic growth. The value of R² is 0.0854, which means that only 8.5% of the diversity of economic growth in the twenty-three districts/municipalities in South Sulawesi in the period 2007-2016 can be explained by the independent variable of the human development index, while the rest is explained by other variables outside the model. The human development index has a negative influence on economic growth. The coefficient value of this variable is -0.199 with a p-value of 0.000, meaning that any increase in 1 index of human development will decrease economic growth by 0.199%.

For the analysis of panel data with this fixed effect there are individual effects showing different economic growth between districts/municipalities based on the model specifications used, the constant values held by each district/municipality remain at all times observed and the value is different for each district/municipality.

Discussion

In general, the economic growth of the regencies/municipalities of South Sulawesi Province in the last five years (2009-2013), shows that the economic growth is quite high, even exceeding the national economic growth, which grows on average 7.32 percent per year and grows by 1,5 percent during the period of 2009-2013, where in 2009 the economic growth of South Sulawesi experienced 6.43 percent increase to 7.93 percent in 2013. The problem is the allocation of education funds and the allocation of health funds based on the results of statistical calculations using panel data, shows that the variable is negatively correlated. With the index of human resource development, in other words, the change of education fund allocation and health funding is inversely proportional to the development of human resources

The cause of this is probably due to unfulfilled macro assumptions in the implementation of allocated funds. According to Mankiw (2008) human resource development can be done through the improvement of the quality human capital that refers to education and health as a fundamental development objective in a region, namely education is essential to achieve a decent life, while health is the core of prosperity. Barro and Sala-i-Martin (2004) introduced an endogenous model that emphasized the importance of fiscal policy to foster economic growth that ultimately leads to poverty. The neoclassical model, in general, emphasizes the supply of labor, capital stock and technological change in the process of economic growth, which later developed into a human capital model in economic growth

Research Findings

The results showed that in model 1, there was a negative correlation between the variables of the allocation of education funds and the allocation of health funds to the human development index variables. This means that the increase in the allocation of education and health funds actually saw a decrease in the human resource development index, this finding is contrary to the theory used which states that supplementary allocations of education and health funds should encourage an increase in human development index.

Similarly in model 2 there is a negative effect between human development index variables on the rate of economic growth in South Sulawesi Province. This means that in the event of a decline in the human development index on the other hand there is economic growth. This condition indicates a paradox on factors that should run linearly between indices of human development and economic growth. A further hypothesis is that this paradox is that there are assumptions that are not included in the calculations such as the assumption that an increase in the human development index leads to an increase in the level of consumption ultimately prompting the Demand Pull Inflation.

CLOSING

Conclusion

In model 1 the allocation of education funds and the allocation of health funds are negatively correlated with human development index. In Model 2 human development index is also negatively correlated with economic growth. Thus it is concluded that the findings of this study need to be followed up by incorporating new assumptions such as budget allocation control factors to maintain effectiveness at budget allocations.

The results show that the right model to see the effect of education and health fund allocation on human development index is random effect model and to see the effect on economic growth and poverty rate we can use fixed effect. Further results indicate that the allocation of education funds has an impact on the human development index. The human development index affects economic growth and high economic growth will reduce poverty levels.

Suggestion

The allocation of education funds and health funds as an effort to improve and develop human resources should be done through the improvement of the supervision of allocation of funds in order to target the right, then the importance of fiscal policy to encourage economic growth which ultimately leads to the level of poverty. Assumptions that need to be considered are the provision of labor, capital stock and technological changes in the process of economic growth, which later developed into a human capital model in economic growth. In further research it is necessary to consider other variables that lie outside the scope of this study, such as the effect of huge infrastructure spending.

References

- Adams, Richard H. Jr, 2003. Economic Growth, Inequality, and Poverty: Finding from a New Data Set, Policy Research Working Paper #2972, World Bank, February.
- Ahluwalia, Montek S, dan Hollis B. Chenery, 1979. Growth and Poverty in Development Countries, *Journal of Development Economics*, Volume 16 September 1979, pp. 298-323.
- Anand, S. and Sen, A. 2000. Human Development and Economic Sustainability, *World Development* 28 (12): 2029-2049
- Balisacan, A. and Pernia, E. 2002. Probing Beneath Cross-national Averages: Poverty, Inequality, and Growth in the Philippines
- Balisacan, A., E.M. Perina, dan A. Asra, 2003. Revisiting Growth and Poverty in Indonesia: What do Subnational Data Show? *Bulletin of Indonesia Economic Studies*, Volume 39, Issue 3, December 2003.
- Barro, R.J., 1990. Government Spending in A Simple Models of Endogenous Growth. *Journal of Political Economy*.
- Barro and Sala-i-Martin 1990, "Public Finance in Models of Economic Growth" WP No 3362, NBER
- Barro, R.J., and Sala-i-Martin, 2004. *Economic Growth 2nd Edition*. London. England. The MIT Press Cambridge, Massachusetts.
- Bigsten, A., & Levin, J. 2001. Growth, income distribution, and poverty: A review. *World Institute for Development Research Discussion Paper No. 2001/129*
- Boex, Jameson, dkk, 2006, *Fighting Poverty Through Fiscal Decentralization*

- Cheyne Christine, O'Brien Mike and Belgrave Michael, 1998. Book Review: Social Policy in Aotearoa/New Zealand: A Critical Introduction. Article January 1998.
- Christy, Fhino Andrea & Adi, Priyo Hari. 2009. Hubungan Antara Dana Alokasi Umum, Belanja Modal, dan Kualitas Pembangunan Manusia. The 3rd National Conference UKWMS page 14. 10 Oktober 2009: Surabaya
- Connolly, Sara and Alistair Munro. 1999. Economics of The Public Sector. New York: Prentice Hall
- Danielson, Anders, 2002. Poverty, Inequality, and Growth in Jamaica, 1988-1998, and Beyond, Paper was presented at the IIIrd CEISEL conference, Amsterdam, July 3-7, 2002.
- Demombynes, Gabriel and Johannes G. Hoozeveer, 2004. Growth, Inequality and Simulated Poverty Paths for Tanzania, 1992-2002, The World Bank, Policy Research Working Paper #3432, October.
- Devarajan, S., Saroop, V., and Heng-fu Zou, 1996. The Composition of Public Expenditure and Economic Growth. Journal of Monetary Economics 37:313-344.
- Dollar, David and Aart Kraay, 2002. Growth is Good for the Poor, Journal of Economic Growth 7(3): 195-225.
- Gupta, and Mitra, 2004. Economic Growth, Health and Poverty: An Exploratory Study For India, Development Policy Review, 2004.
- Hayek F 1945, "The Road to Serfdom" The Institute of Economic Affairs
- Jafarov, Etibar dan Gunnarsson, Victoria. 2008. Government Spending on Health Care and Education in Croatia: Efficiency and Reform Option. IMF Working Paper
- Jhingan, M.L. 1999. Ekonomi Perencanaan dan Pembangunan. Jakarta : Raja Grafindo Persada.
- Kaldor, N. 1970, The Case for Regional Policies, Scottish Journal of Political Economy, Vol. 18 pp. 337-348. Reprinted in Scottish journal of Political Economy
- Klasen, Stephen. 2005. Measuring Poverty And Deprivation In South Africa. Review of Income and Wealth Volume 46, Issue 1, pages 33-58, March 2000
- Knowles, Stephen and Owen, P. Dorian. Education and Health in an Effect-Labour Empirical Growth Model. The Economic Record Vol. 73. No. 223. 1997.
- Kodoatie, R.J. 2003. Manajemen dan Rekayasa Infrastruktur. Pustaka Pelajar, Yogyakarta.
- Koopmans TC 1963, "On the Concept of Optimal Economic Growth" Discussion Paper no 163, Cowles Foundation, Yale University
- Koutsoyiannis A 1982. "Modern Microeconomics, second edition" The Macmillan Press, London
- Kuznets, Simon, 1955, Modern Economic Growth : Structure and Spread. London : Yale University Press
- Lanjouw, P, M Pradhan, F Saadah, H Sayed. R Sparrow, 2001 Poverty, Education and Health in Indonesia: Who Benefits from Public Spending? World Bank Working Paper No 2739 Washington D C.: World Bank
- Lee, Jong-Wha. 2005. "Economic Growth and Human Development in The
www.hdr.undp.org/docs/publications/occasionalpapers/oc24aa. Pebruari, 6, 2006.
- Lewis, Arthur W., 1954, Economic Development with Unlimited Supplies Labour, Manchester : Manchester School Press.
- Li, Hongyi and Liang, Huang, 2010, Health, Education, and Economic Growth in East Asia, Journal of Chinese and Foreign Trade Studies, vol. 3 No. 2, 2010.
- Lokshin, Michael & Yemtsov, Ruslan, 2003. "Evaluating the impact of infrastructure rehabilitation projects on household welfare in rural Georgia," Policy Research Working Paper Series 3155, The World Bank
- Majdi, Mekdem, 2012, Study of teh Relation Between Health and Economic Growth, Interdisciplinary Journal of Contemporary Research in Business, May 2012 vol. 4 No. 1.
- Mankiw, Romer dan Weil 1992, "A Contribution to the Empirics of Economic Growth" The Quarterly Journal of Economics, Vol. 107, No. 2, pp. 407-437, JSTOR.
- Mankiw, N.Gregory. 2000. Teori Makro Ekonomi Ed.4, Jakarta: Penerbit Airlangga.
- Mankiw, N.Gregory. 2003. Macroeconomics. Fifth Editions. New York. Worth Publishers. 41 Madison Avenue.
- Mardiasmo, 2004. Otonomi dan Manajemen Keuangan Daerah, Penerbit, Andi Offset, Yogyakarta.
- Martinez-Vazquez, J. and R. McNab, 1997. Fiscal Decentralization, Economic Growth, and Demographic Governance. International Studies Program, Working Paper 97-7, Atlanta, Georgia State University.
- McGee Rosemary and Brock Karen 2001, "From poverty Assessment To Policy Change: Processes, Actors And Data" Working Paper 133, Institutes Of Development Studies, Brighton Sussex England..
- Meier, G. M. dan J. E. Rauch. 2000. Leading Issues in Economic Development (seventh edition). New York - Oxford: Oxford University Press
- Miles, D., G. Myles, dan I. Preston, 2003. The Economics of Public Spending. New York, Oxford University Press.
- Mills dan Pernia. 1993. Korelasi Pertumbuhan Ekonomi dan Tingkat Kemiskinan di Indonesia.
<https://yusniarisanti0795.wordpress.com>
- Mundle, S 1998, "Financing Human Development: Some Lessons from Advanced Asian Countries", World Development 26 (4):659-672
- Musgrave, Richard S., and Peggy B. Musgrave. 1989. Public Finance in Theory and Practice. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company

- Myrdal, G. 1957. *Economic Theory and Underdeveloped Region*, Chapter 3: The Drift Towards Economic Inequalities In A Country
- Oluwatobi, Stephen, O. And Ogunrinola, I. Oluranti, 2011, *Government Expenditure on Human Capital Development: Implications for Economic Growth in Nigeria*; Journal of Sustainable Development, Department of Economics and Development Studies, Covenant University Nigeria, 2011.
- Onuorah, A.C., and Akujobi, L.E., 2012. Empirical Analysis of Public Expenditure and Economic Growth in Nigeria. *Arabian Journal of Business and Management Review*. Vol. 1. No. 11. 2012.
- Owen, Robert G. 1987. *Organization Behaviour in Education*. New Jersey: Englewood Clift)
- Parikesit, D., H. Purwoto and O. Z. Tamin. 2000. *Economic Impact and Indirect Benefit of the Road Sector Budget*. WP04: Technical Report. A Report prepared for SEPM Project Team, Jakarta
- Perkons, D.H., S. Radelet, D.R. Snodgrass, M. Gillis, 2001. *Economics of Development*, Fifth Edition, New York, WW. Norton & Company.
- Pigou, A.C M.A., 1960. *The Economic of Welfare*. Fourth Edition., London; Mac Millan & CO LTD.
- Poli, WIM, 2010. *Tonggak-tonggak Sejarah Pemikiran Ekonomi*, Brilliant Internasional Cetakan Pertama.
- Pradhan, Rudra Prakash, 2010, *Transport Infrastructure, Energy Consumption and Economic Growth Triangle in India: Contigration and Causality Analysis*, Journal of Sustainable Development, Vinod Gupta School of Management, India Institute of Technology Kharagpur, India, 2010.
- Premchand, Arigapudi. 1999. *Public Financial accountability, governance, corruption, and public financial management*, by salvatore schiavecampo, ADB. November, Manila: 145-192
- Presber, Julian, J.H., 2011. *The Chines Financial System and Its Impact On Economic Growth In China*. The ISM Journal of International Business, 2011.
- Qiao, Martinez-Vazquez, dan Xu 2008. *Expenditure Assignments in China*
- Qureshi, Muhammad Azeem, 2009. *Human development, public expenditure and economic growth: a system dynamics approach*. System Dynamics Group, School of Social Sciences, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway and Institute of Management Sciences, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan, Pakistan
- Ramirez, A., Raniz, G., and Stewart, F. 1998. *Economic Growth and Human Capital*, *World Development* 28 (2): 197-219.
- Raniz, G., and Stewart, F. *Strategy for Success in Human Development*.
<http://hdr.undp.or/docs/training/oxford/reading/Ranis%20and%20stewart.pdf> 15 Februari 2005.
- Ranis G and Stewart 2002, "Economic growth and human development in Latin America" *Cepal Review* 78
- Ravallion, Martin, 1995. *Growth and Poverty: Evidence for Developing Countries in the 1980s*, *Economics Letters* 48: 411-417.
- Ravallion, M. and G. Datt., 2000. *When Growth is Pro-Poor? Evidence from the Diverse Experience of Indian States*, The World Bank, Policy Research Working Paper #2263.
- Richardson, W, Harry, 1978. *Regional and Urban Economics*. Penguin Books. Great Britain : The Chausess Press Ltd.
- Rosen, H.S., and T. Gayer, 2008. *Public Finance*, Eighth Edition. Singapore and New York, McGraw-Hill International Edition.
- Safdari, Mehrizi, and Elahi, 2011. *Impact of Human Capital on Economic Growth in Iran*. *European Journal of Economics, Finance and Administrative Sciences*. ISSN 1450-2275 Issue 41 (2011). © EuroJournals, Inc. 2011. <http://www.eurojournals.com>
- Sen, A., 1999. *Employment, Tachonology, and Development*, Indian ed. Oxford University Press, New Delhi.
- Sepulveda, Cristian F., 2010, *The Consequences of Fiscal Decentralization on Poverty and Inequality*, George State University.
- Shelly, Gary L., and Wright, David B., 2008. *Incremental State Higher Education Expenditures*. International Atlantic Economic Society, 2008.
- Solow, R.M., 2000. *Growth Theory: An Exposition*. New York, Oxfodr University Press.
- Son HH and Kakwani N 2004, "Economic Growth And Poverty Reduction: Initial Conditions Matter", Working Paper No 2 International Poverty Centre, UNDP,
- Soubbotina, T.P. 2004. *Beyond Economic Growth (An Introduction to Sustaianable Development)*. The World Bank : Washington, D.C
- Sumner, Andy, 2012, *The Evolving Composition of Poverty in Middle-Income Countires: The Case of Indonesia 1991-2007*, IDS Working Paper, Volume 2012 No. 409.
- Tachiwou, Aboudou Maman, 2011. *Infrastrucrture Development and Economic Growth in Togo*. *International Journal of Economics and Finance*, Vol. 3. No. 3. 2011.
- Tang, Chor Foon and Lai, Yew Wah *The Causal Relationship between ealth and Education Expenditures in Malaysia*. *Theoretical and Applied Economics* Volume XVIII (2011), No. 8(561), pp. 61-74
- Todaro, Michael, P., dan Smith, SC. 2003. *Economic Development*. Eighth Edition. Pearson Education.
- Todaro , Michael, P., 2004. *Pembangunan Ekonomi di Dunia Ketiga*. Penerbit Erlangga Edisi Kedelapan, 2004.
- Tresch, R.W., 2008. *Public Sector Economics*. New York, Palgrave Macmillan.

- Tri, Maryani, 2011. Analisis Indeks Pembangunan Manusia di Provinsi Jawa Tengah, Tesis, UPN Veteran, Yogyakarta.
- UNDP, 2006. Beyond Scarcity: Power, Poverty and The Global Water Crisis. Human Development Report 2006. New York, UNDP.
- Van Den Berg, H. 2005. Economic Growth and Development: An Analysis of Our Economic Achievements and Our Most Exciting Challenges. Singapore and New York, McGraw-Hill International Edition, Economic Series.
- Vanegas, Manuel 2014. The triangle of poverty, economic growth, and inequality in Central America: does tourism matter? Department of Applied Economics, University of Minnesota, Falcon Heights, Minnesota, USA
- Wodon, Quentin T., 1999. Growth, Poverty, and Inequality: A Regional Panel for Bangladesh, The World Bank, Policy Research Working Paper #2070, March.
- World Bank. 1994. World Development Report: Infrastructure for Development. Oxford University Press, New York.
- World Bank, 2007c. Analisis Pengeluaran Publik Papua: Sebuah Tinjauan Umum Keuangan Daerah dan Pelayanan Publik Pada Wilayah Tertinggal DI Indonesia. Pemda Papua, Support Office For Eastern Indonesia (SofEI), The World Bank.
- Yao, Guevera Assamoi, 2007, Fiscal Decentralization and Poverty Reduction Outcomes: Theory and Evidence, George State University.
- Xie, D., Zou, H. and Davoodi, H. 1999. "Fiscal decentralization and economic growth in the United States", *Journal of Urban Economics*, 45(2), , 228–39.
- Zaman, Miethy, 2012. Human Capital and Economic Growth: An empirical investigation using panel data, (MSc Student, Economics, University of Nottingham, United Kingdom). *IOSR Journal Of Humanities And Social Science (JHSS)*. ISSN: 2279-0837, ISBN: 2279-0845. Volume 4, Issue 6 (Nov. - Dec. 2012), PP 43-56 www.iosrjournals.org.

ORIGINALITY REPORT

10%

SIMILARITY INDEX

%

INTERNET SOURCES

10%

PUBLICATIONS

%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

- 1** Nguyen Hong Nhung, Nguyen Quang Thai, Bui Trinh, Nguyen Viet Phong. "Rural and Urban in Vietnam Economic Structure", International Business Research, 2019 **2%**

Publication
- 2** Hastarini Dwi Atmanti, Maal Naylah. "THE EFFICIENCY OF HEALTHCARE SYSTEM IN INDONESIA IN 2014-2018", Humanities & Social Sciences Reviews, 2019 **1%**

Publication
- 3** John FoEh, Ni Kadek Suryani, Shakti Silpama. "The Influence of Inflation Level, Exchange Rate and Gross Domestic Product on Foreign Direct Investment in the ASEAN Countries on 2007 - 2018", European Journal of Business and Management Research, 2020 **1%**

Publication
- 4** Ahlis Fatoni, Sebastian Herman, Adam Abdullah. "IBN KHALDUN MODEL ON POVERTY: THE CASE OF ORGANIZATION OF ISLAMIC CONFERENCE (OIC)" **1%**

COUNTRIES", Journal of Islamic Monetary
Economics and Finance, 2019

Publication

5

Crouch, Melissa. "Review of: Susanne Schröter (ed.): Christianity in Indonesia: Perspectives of Power", Publikationsserver der Goethe-Universität Frankfurt am Main, 2012.

Publication

1%

6

E Yuliani, J Kautsary. "Infrastructure Development in Surakarta Suburbs (A Case of Expansion of Kadipiro Urban Village, Banjarsari Sub-District, Surakarta City)", IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2020

Publication

<1%

7

Helmy Syamsuri, Amril Amril, Dien Triana. "The Strategy of Increasing Economic Growth of the Maritime Sector: South Sulawesi Analysis Context", IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2018

Publication

<1%

8

Gideon Kwaku Minua Ampofo, Jinhua Cheng, Daniel Akwasi Asante, Philip Bosah. "Total natural resource rents, trade openness and economic growth in the top mineral-rich countries: New evidence from nonlinear and asymmetric analysis.", Resources Policy, 2020

Publication

<1%

9

Rossana Guerra de Sousa, Edilson Paulo, João Marôco. "Longitudinal Factor Analysis of Public Expenditure Composition and Human Development in Brazil After the 1988 Constitution", Social Indicators Research, 2017

Publication

<1%

10

"Infrastructure and Economic Growth in Asia", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2013

Publication

<1%

11

Mohamad Khusaini. "Does bureaucracy lead to economic development in ASEAN", International Journal of Economic Policy in Emerging Economies, 2019

Publication

<1%

12

Stephen J. Turnovsky. "Optimal tax, debt, and expenditure policies in a growing economy", Journal of Public Economics, 1996

Publication

<1%

13

Hosung Jung, Jieun Lee. "The effects of macroprudential policies on house prices: Evidence from an event study using Korean real transaction data", Journal of Financial Stability, 2017

Publication

<1%

14

Mertha Endah Ervina, I Gede Nyoman Mindra Jaya. "Analyzing Factors Affecting GRDP in

<1%

Indonesia Using Spatial Panel Data Model",
Modern Applied Science, 2018

Publication

15

Khaled Mohammad Khalaf Mohammad Al-Dreban. "Social Media and Its Impact on Small Projects: An Empirical Study in the State of Kuwait", International Business Research, 2020

Publication

<1%

16

Risti Permani. "The Role of Education in Economic Growth in East Asia: a survey", Asian-Pacific Economic Literature, 05/2009

Publication

<1%

17

"Fiscal Equalization", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2007

Publication

<1%

18

ANISA FAHMI. "PENGARUH GOOD GOVERNANCE, BELANJA FUNGSI PENDIDIKAN DAN KESEHATAN, DAN PDRB PERKAPITA TERHADAP IPM", JURNAL MANAJEMEN KEUANGAN PUBLIK, 2018

Publication

<1%

19

"The Value Chain of Foreign Aid", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2007

Publication

<1%

20

David Castells-Quintana, Maria del Pilar Lopez-Urbe, Thomas K.J. McDermott. "Geography, institutions and development: a review of the

<1%

long-run impacts of climate change", Climate and Development, 2016

Publication

21 Syamsu Nujum, Zainuddin Rahman. <math><1\%</math>
"PENGARUH INVESTASI DAN INFLASI TERHADAP PERTUMBUHAN EKONOMI DI KOTA MAKASSAR", JURNAL ECONOMIC RESOURCE, 2019

Publication

22 David E. Sahn, Stephen D. Younger. <math><1\%</math>
"Improvements in children's health: Does inequality matter?", The Journal of Economic Inequality, 2005

Publication

23 Y.D. Wei, S. Kim. <math><1\%</math>
"Widening Inter-County Inequality in Jiangsu Province, China, 1950-95", Journal of Development Studies, 2002

Publication

24 John Weiss. <math><1\%</math>
"The Aid Paradigm for Poverty Reduction: Does It Make Sense?", Development Policy Review, 07/2008

Publication

25 Alhaji Bukar Mustapha, Rusmawati Said, Shaufique Fahmi Sidique. <math><1\%</math>
"Urban poverty, inequality and industry in Nigeria", International Journal of Development Issues, 2015

Publication

26

M. Zahari, Syamsurijal Tan, Haryadi Haryadi, Syaparuddin Syaparuddin. "Analysis of fiscal decentralization impact on community welfare in Jambi Province", *Jurnal Perspektif Pembiayaan dan Pembangunan Daerah*, 2018

Publication

<1%

27

Nursini Nursini, Tawakkal Tawakkal. "Poverty alleviation in the contex of fiscal decentralization in Indonesia", *Economics & Sociology*, 2019

Publication

<1%

28

Aan Zulyanto. "Pengeluaran Pemerintah dan Indeks Pembangunan Manusia (IPM) Di Provinsi Bengkulu", *PARETO : Jurnal Ekonomi dan Kebijakan Publik*, 2018

Publication

<1%

29

"Internal Migration, Urbanization and Poverty in Asia: Dynamics and Interrelationships", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2019

Publication

<1%

30

Bejoy K. Thomas, Roldan Muradian, Gerard De Groot, Arie De Ruijter. "Multidimensional Poverty and Identification of Poor Households: A Case from Kerala, India", *Journal of Human Development and Capabilities*, 2009

Publication

<1%

Exclude quotes On

Exclude matches

< 1 words

Exclude bibliography On

JEB

GRADEMARK REPORT

FINAL GRADE

/0

GENERAL COMMENTS

Instructor

PAGE 1

PAGE 2

PAGE 3

PAGE 4

PAGE 5

PAGE 6

PAGE 7

PAGE 8

PAGE 9

PAGE 10

PAGE 11

PAGE 12

